

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/772,069 | 02/04/2004 | Vytautas Getautis | 3216.61US02 | 4527 |
| 24113 75 | 590 11/09/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. | | | LE, HOA VAN | |
| 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLI | MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 | | | |
| | • | | DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/772,069 | GETAUTIS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Hoa V. Le | 1752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover shee | t with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | V IS SET TO EVOIDE | 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUM 36(a). In no event, however, make will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become | INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. see ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _, | • | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abe | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | • | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attac | hed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | • | een received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | • | not received | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies | not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
|) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1752

This application is up for consideration.

- A. In view of the complexity of the claims as set up, this Office action is made.
- Claims (1-8), (9-15), (16-22), (23-27), (28-35) and (36-42) are generic to the B. following disclosed patentably distinct species: There many possible species of (a) monomers as those in the general structure in claim 28 and polymers as those in the general structure in claim 1. The species are independent or distinct because they have distinct chemical structures. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species with all chemical elements and bonding connections in between and among the chemical elements as those chemical structures 2-5 on pages 25-26 in the specification for a computer generation of a search, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1752

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- C. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.121:
 - I. Claims (23-27) and (28-35), drawn to polymer and its product-by-process, classified in class 522, subclass 116.
 - II. Claims (1-8) and (36-42), drawn to an organophotoreceptor, classified in class 430, subclass 59.1.
 - III. Claims 9-15, drawn to an electrophotographic imaging apparatus, classified in class 399, subclass 96.
 - IV. Claims 16-22, drawn to an electrophotographic imaging process, classified in class 399, subclass 154.
- (1) * Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that(1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the

Art Unit: 1752

subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the photoreceptor combination can have its patentabilities on charge generating agents and electrical conductive elements. Applicants should disagree, show or urge otherwise for the record in the next response to this Office action in order for tit to be considered timely. The admission will be will to search for a subcombination polymer only. The polymer subcombination has separate utility such as a binding agent and/or a molding agent in the art.

** Inventions Group I and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the copying machine combination can have its patentabilities on light imaging elements and charge generating agents. Applicants should disagree, show or urge otherwise for the record in the next response to this Office action in order for tit to be considered timely. The admission will be will to search

Art Unit: 1752

for a subcombination polymer only. The polymer subcombination has separate utility such as a binding agent and/or a molding agent in the art.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

(2) Inventions Group (I and II) and Group IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the copying process as claimed can be practiced with a distinct polymer or inorganic agent.

Art Unit: 1752

(3) Inventions Group III and Group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, an imaging process can be made using a distinct apparatus using no claimed polymer or using inorganic photoreceptor.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention

Art Unit: 1752

must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Page 8

Art Unit: 1752

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

D. Other issues are not now considered until complete and proper elections and requirements are made.

E. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1332.

The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:30 PM on Monday though Thursday and about the same time of most Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Applicants may file a paper by (1) fax with a central facsimile receiving number 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1752

Hoa V. Le Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752

HVL 07 November 2006

> HOA VAN LE PRIMARY,EXAMINER